

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,258	09/16/2003	Jose Engelmayer	H0-P02652US1	2875	
26271 7590 03/27/2007 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			EXAMINER		
1301 MCKINN	•		KAM, CHIH MIN		
SUITE 5100 HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	•		1656		
	T 1				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/27/2007	PAF	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/663,258	ENGELMAYER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chih-Min Kam	1656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	nuary 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
·—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-51</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-51</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
		ted to but the Fuerrissia			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.					
— I was proved to the control of the					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
decented detailed effice action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attacherents					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 802)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☑ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. <u>20070111</u> .				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Status of the Claims

1. Claims 15-51 are pending.

Applicants' amendment filed January 14, 2007 is acknowledged. Applicant's response has been fully considered. Claims 16, 26, 31, 32, 33 and 48-51 have been amended, and claims 1-14 have been cancelled. Therefore, claims 15-51 are examined.

Withdrawn Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The previous rejection of claims 15-51 under 35 U. S. C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claim, and applicants' response at page 8 in the amendment filed January 14, 2007.

Withdrawn Claim Rejections -- 35 USC § 103

3. The previous rejection of claims 16-23, 26, 27, 29 and 31-51 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conneely *et al.* (US 2001/0016289 A1), is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claim, and applicants' response at page 9 in the amendment filed January 14, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,258

Art Unit: 1656

Claim 26 recites the limitation "ophthalmic wound" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

New Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 15-19, 21-24, 26, 27, 29 and 31-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Ando *et al.* (U. S. Patent 5,576,299, published November 19, 1996).

Ando et al. disclose a formulation containing lactoferrin or transferrin is used for treating opportunistic infectious diseases under immunodeficient condition caused by Lentiviral infection (column 2, lines 10-21), e.g., granules containing human apolactoferrin (350 mg/day) were given to HIV positive patients with recurrent stomatitis and gingivitis once daily for 4 weeks, where the patients have aphthae or ulcers on the mucosa of the oral cavity and lip, and the inflammation in the oral cavity and pain was ameliorated after the treatment (Example 2; claims 16-18, 21, 22, 26, 27, 29); and feline immunodecificiency virus (FIV)-positive cats were treated with bovine native lactoferrin (20 mg/kg daily), which was dissolved in distilled water (claim 23), the solution was sprayed over ulcers and aphthae in the oral cavity, the treatment lasted 7 days to several months, and the appetite increased and the pain ameliorated after the lactoferrin treatment (Example 4). The reference also teaches the pharmaceutical composition may contain the active compound (i.e., transferrin/lactoferrin) together with a solid or liquid pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, and the formulation may be administered orally, topically or intravenously;

Application/Control Number: 10/663,258

Art Unit: 1656

suitable excipients such as sugar, gelatin (claims 15, 24), magnesium carbonate or magnesium stearate (a known antacid; claim 19) may be employed; and the composition can be in sustained-release formulations (column 4, line 21-column 5, line 3). Although Ando *et al.* do not specifically indicate lactoferrin supplements the local immune system, reduces the production or activity of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., TNF-α), or enhances the production or activity of certain cytokines (e.g., IL-18), the reference teaches the administration of the same lactoferrin as the claimed invention, thus the lactoferrin would inherently produce these effects (claims 31-51). Although the reference does not specifically indicates a suitable excipient such as gelatin has a viscosity in the range of about 1-12,000,000 cP, the gelatin is one of the polymers used in the specification (see paragraph [0013]), which meets the criteria of claim 15.

New Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 16, 17, 21-23, 26, 28 and 30-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kruzel *et al.* (US Patent 6,066,469, published on may 23, 2000).

Kruzel *et al.* disclose treating burn patients by topical administration of lactoferrin in an ointment, cream or other topical vehicle twice daily in an amount of 50-100 mg per dose for a period of time of three to four weeks (column 7, lines 44-53; claims 16-17, 21-23, 26, 28 and 30). Although Kruzel *et al.* do not indicate administration of lactoferrin to the patient would

supplement the local immune system, stimulate the production or inhibit of certain cytokines or chemokines, or inhibit the production of matrix metalloproteinases, the reference teaches the same method steps (i.e., administration of lactoferrin) as the claimed method, thus at the time of invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the lactoferrin composition would produce these effects (claims 31-47).

Claim Rejections-Obviousness Type Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 15-51 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-14, 16, 18-22 and 35-38 of copending Application No. 10/733,621 (based on the amended claims filed September 26, 2006). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 15-51 in the instant application disclose a method of treating a wound, or enhancing the local or systemic immune system in a subject suffering from a wound by administering to the subject an effective amount of a lactoferrin composition comprising a lactoferrin and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. This is an obvious variation in view of claims 1, 3-14, 16, 18-22 and 35-38 in the copending application which disclose a method of

treating a subject suffering from pain comprising the step of administering to the subject an effective amount of a lactoferrin composition, wherein the pain is associated with cancer or surgery; and the specification discloses the composition comprising a lactoferrin and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier can be a gel composition comprising Carbopol. Both the claims of instant application and the claims of the copending application are directed to a method of treating wound or a patient having a pain from surgery by administering a lactoferrin composition, where a patient having a pain from surgery would be expected to have a wound. Thus, claims 15-51 in present application and claims 1, 3-14, 16, 18-22 and 35-38 in the copending application are obvious variations of a method of treating wound by administering a lactoferrin composition.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

8. Claims 16-22, 26-30 and 50-51 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 7, 14, 17-19, 26-32 and 38-40 of copending Application No. 10/728,521 (based on the amended claims filed September 26, 2006). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 16-22, 26-30 and 50-51 disclose a method of treating a wound other than ophthalmic wounds, or enhancing the local or systemic immune system in a subject suffering from a wound by administering to the subject an effective amount of a lactoferrin composition; and the specification indicates a lactoferrin composition can have an N-terminal lactoferrin variant such as N-terminal glycine deleted or substituted or a deletion, substitution, or combination thereof, of from 1 to 16 N-terminal amino acid residues and the N-

Application/Control Number: 10/663,258

Art Unit: 1656

terminal lactoferrin variant retains the same biological function as full length lactoferrin (paragraphs [0009] and [0048]), and the lactoferrin composition can decrease bacterial infection of the wound (paragraphs [0102]). This is an obvious variation in view of claims 1, 7, 14, 17-19, 26-32 and 38-40 in the copending application which disclose a method of treating bacteremia or sepsis, enhancing a mucosal response in the gastrointestinal tract or decreasing mortality of a subject having bacteremia, comprising the step of administering orally to a subject an effective amount of a lactoferrin composition comprising at least 1% to at least 50% w/w of an N-terminal lactoferrin variant to provide an improvement in the bacteremia of said subject, wherein the Nterminal lactoferrin variant has a deletion, substitution, or combination thereof, of from 1 to 16 N-terminal amino acid residues and wherein the N-terminal lactoferrin variant retains the same biological function as full length lactoferrin; and the specification indicates sepsis or bacteremia may originate anywhere in the body such as surgical wounds or decubitus ulcers (paragraphs [0003] and [0082]). Both the claims of instant application and the claims of the copending application are directed to a method of treating bacteremia or sepsis, or treating wounds such as wounds causing bacteremia or sepsis by administering a lactoferrin composition comprising an N-terminal lactoferrin variant. Thus, claims 16-22, 26-30 and 50-51 in present application and claims 1, 7, 14, 17-19, 26-32 and 38-40 in the copending application are obvious variations of a method of treating bacteremia or sepsis, or wounds causing bacteremia or sepsis by administering a lactoferrin composition comprising an N-terminal lactoferrin variant.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

Applicants indicates US Patent Applications 10/733,621 and 10/728,521 have priority/filing dates of 12-12-2002/12-11-2003 and 12-06-2002/12-05-2003, respectively. The instant application has priority/filing dates of 09-16-2002/09-16-2003. Thus, of the three applications, the instant one is the earliest. In addition, other basis of rejection are currently outstanding against all claims in the other applications. Consequently, the provisional rejections should be withdrawn and the instant application allowed to issue when the provisional rejections are the only rejections remaining (page 9 of the response). MPEP § 804 (I.B. 1.).

Applicants' response has been considered, however, the arguments are not persuasive because there are other outstanding rejections in the office Action. Thus, the provisional rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

9. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Bragdon can be reached at 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D.

Primary Patent Examiner

CHIH-MIN KAM PRIMARY EXAMINER

CMK

March 22, 2007